SILESIAN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGYPUBLISHING HOUSE

SCIENTIFIC PAPERS OF SILESIAN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY 2026
ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT SERIESNO. 253

HYBRID AESTHETICS IN CONTEMPORARY CRAFTS – CULTURAL NARRATIVE IN SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

Katarzyna MAZUR-WŁODARCZYK[1*], Katarzyna ŁUKANISZYN-DOMASZEWSKA[2]

[1] Opole University of Technology; k.mazur-wlodarczyk@po.edu.pl, ORCID: 0000-0002-4822-9328
[2] Opole University of Technology; k.lukaniszyn-domaszewska@po.edu.pl, ORCID: 0000-0002-2165-5095
* Correspondence author

Purpose: Traditional crafts have long served as an essential foundation for social capital, economic resilience, and local identity; however, the ongoing transformation of craft processes necessitates a re-evaluation of their aesthetic and functional boundaries. This article explores the phenomenon of hybrid aesthetics in contemporary craftsmanship and its role in fostering cultural narratives within the framework of sustainable development. The research aims to demonstrate how hybridization acts as a bridge between heritage and innovation, reinforcing the strategic role of craftsmanship in building a sustainable, culturally rich economic future.
Design/methodology/approach: This study is based on a non-systematic literature review on hybridization and its aesthetics, with a particular focus on cultural and ethnic aspects. The review includes publications in English and Polish available until 2026.
Findings: The hybridization of crafts represents not only a technological shift but also a profound aesthetic and social transformation, combining tradition with innovation in the spirit of sustainable development. Cultural and ethnic components play a key role, strengthening identity and enabling intergenerational transmission of heritage, as do economic strategies based on high value, "slow production", and greater market appeal. At the same time, the development of hybrid crafts requires preserving cultural authenticity to ensure a lasting synergy between technology and tradition.
Originality/value: The authors define "hybridity" in this context as the integration of seemingly disparate elements, including the merging of traditional techniques with modern technologies, the use of renewable raw materials, and the blending of diverse ethnic motifs. By analyzing the shift from purely utilitarian manual labor to a sophisticated fusion of art and industry, the study investigates how these hybrid objects create new sensory experiences.
Keywords: hybrid craft, new technology, hybrid aesthetics, craft heritage, sustainable development, economic culture.
Category of the paper: Research paper.

1. Introduction

Crafts serve many functions in society. They enable interactions between those involved in crafts and those connected to their surroundings. They offer the opportunity to build social capital through collaboration, which in turn builds trust—the foundation of lasting social relationships. Research conducted by Brännkärr et al. (2025) indicates that handicraft classes and the possibility of moving around while working in the studio create conditions for broader discussions, encouraging participants to spend time together outside of classes and thus positively influencing interpersonal interactions. Crafts also fulfill important educational and cultural roles, including sharing knowledge and skills and organizing cultural events. They also create jobs and support local economies. As Kolek notes (2026), crafts also contribute to building economic resilience – the ability to adapt and cope with crisis in technical, social, and economic terms. Crafts are a pillar of economic security, supporting basic production functions in times of global disruption, while craftsmanship constitutes an important strategic resource (Kolek, 2026). In addition to the above, crafts serve both utilitarian and aesthetic purposes. Lufiani et al. (2017) emphasize that crafts can enhance the aesthetics of settlement units and strengthen their identity, and that their presence in public spaces can impact the community. In an environment saturated with art, residents are subject to the process of civilization, and their emotional and spiritual spheres gain subtlety, sensitivity, and the ability to selectively perceive aesthetic values and filter what is good for them (Lufiani et al., 2017).

The aesthetic qualities of artisans' work are linked to contemporary craftsmanship and, therefore, to the transformation that has occurred within the craft process and product. As Ukim (2021) notes, this can be illustrated by the changing perception of craftsmanship relative to art. He details several phases of craftsmanship's development, each related to its intended purpose. Initially, there was no distinction between craftsmanship and art. Creation was aimed at satisfying basic human needs, including solving emerging problems. It required physical skills and involved manual labor. Later, craftsmanship focused on utilitarian purposes, served domestic functions, and was practiced by women. Art, on the other hand, was associated with aesthetic goals. Painters and sculptors (formerly craftsmen) became artists, while other craftsmen and their work were perceived as creative activities of a lower rank than art. In the next stage, following the industrial revolutions (which introduced machines and led to the invention of new tools) and the possibility of training craftsmen in schools, craftsmen in the production sphere began to function as artists, taking aesthetic aspects into account in design and production. Furthermore, they no longer focused solely on implementing local ideas but also opened up to influences and ideas from abroad. Craftsmanship began to be associated with the creation of aesthetic values, and its goals came to be defined as utilitarian and aesthetic, or aesthetic regardless of utilitarian considerations. Despite this, the social perception of craftsmanship as having lower status than art has not yet changed (Ukim, 2021).

In this text, the authors will mainly refer to artistic crafts (including everyday objects with artistic value) produced in craft studios and workshops, in accordance with craftsmen's designs (ZPE, n.d.). The categories i) 'hybrids' and ii) 'hybrid aesthetics' appearing in the title are interpreted as: i) a combination of different, even incompatible elements, e.g., from at least two different languages, genres (Wielki Słownik Języka Polskiego, n.d. a, b), varieties, breeds, or parts (Słownik Języka Polskiego, n.d.); ii) the sensual experience associated with contact with hybrid craft, as well as considering the aesthetic values of hybrid objects created by craftsmen. These objects combine elements of traditional crafts with new solutions, including the materials selected by artisans (e.g., renewable raw materials), the technologies used, and the combination of various cultural elements—e.g., ethnic patterns.

The chosen goal of the research is to analyze hybridization and its aesthetics by focusing on cultural and ethnic narratives in the context of sustainable craft development.

2. Methods

The study is based on a non-systematic literature review that examines hybridization and its aesthetics, with attention to cultural and ethnic aspects. The research stages include outlining the background of issues related to the introduction of new technologies into crafts and the specificity of hybrid crafts, specifying the aesthetic elements of this craft, distinguishing cultural components in the context of sustainable craft development, and specifying conclusions. The literature review includes publications available until 2026, in English and Polish, using the following keywords in the abstracts: craft AND “hybrid aesthetics”. Initially, it was planned to use only the Web of Science and Scopus databases, but only one text met these criteria and was indexed in both. However, this text indirectly alluded to the adopted craft perspective, combining fashion design and experimental cinema (see: D’Souza, 2024). Due to the above, it was also decided to search for texts outside of them using the Google web browser. After selecting the articles, their abstracts were first reviewed, and the next stage included those that discussed aspects of hybrid aesthetics and craft in the broadly understood sense, without regard to the meaning of the word "craft" as applied to aircraft, spacecraft, statecraft, and hovercraft. Two research questions were formulated:

Q1: What cultural and ethnic components in hybrid crafts support sustainable craft development?

Q2: What economic strategies result from the hybridization of crafts in terms of aesthetics and sustainability?

The sources analyzed came from scientific journals and conference monographs, both of which were available as open-access publications. Articles were selected primarily for their relevance to the research questions. The texts were analyzed independently by two researchers, and the resulting findings were synthesized in a descriptive form.

The results section is organized into four parts; however, due to the specificity of the research problem under analysis, the boundaries between them are not always clearly delineated. Consequently, this division should be understood primarily as a structural device intended to facilitate the presentation of the analyzed content.

3. Results

3.1. Outlining the background - craft and new technologies

The relationship between craft and new technologies is sometimes described as both paradoxical—craft presented as the opposite of technology—and stimulating innovation in science, technology, and engineering—craft as an element compatible with technology (Tan et al., 2018). Castelblanco-Pérez (2025) notes that the hybridity of craft carries risks, including appropriation, idealization, and the erasure of original creators. On the other hand, it offers the possibility of reconstructing dispersed cultural heritage, initiating the exchange of ideas, and protecting vanishing knowledge (Castelblanco-Pérez, 2025). Hybridization is a regenerative practice—revitalizing traditional crafts, supporting their continuity and further development through the introduction of new tools, changing contexts, and shifting cultural values. Furthermore, craftsmanship and new technology can also work together to shape form, structure, and aesthetics (Gupta, Shende, 2025). Soledad Duarte Poblete et al. (2024) note that the hybrid approach results from a positive tension between craft and design processes and new technologies, and that experimenting with them favors the development of local, traditional crafts (Soledad Duarte Poblete et al., 2024). Kale et al. (2026) point out that even a well-planned use of new technologies (e.g., artificial intelligence [AI]) In crafts, opportunities exist to bridge the "gap" between tradition and introduced technology, as well as to help preserve cultural values. According to the researchers, the main challenges of its implementation are not technological, but rather management and corporate governance. Especially in small, decentralized craft businesses, new technologies should be introduced in a structured manner to avoid losing cultural values and existing craft values (Kale et al., 2026). Outlining the background – hybrid craft

Hybrid crafts are a relatively new type of craft that combines traditional crafts with elements of applied science. In this case, the result of artisanal work is not always perceived as handmade (Dolabella, Pagnan, 2025). Most often, it is a hybrid of traditional craftsmanship and new technologies. Examples of hybrid crafts include:

- Combining Indian bamboo weaving techniques with 3D-printed PLA mesh, which involves weaving bamboo strips around the shape created by the mesh, bypassing complex assembly processes (Gupta, Shende, 2025).
- Hybrid basketry using 3D printing enables the creation of repeatable products (Zoran, 2013).
- Digital production with ceramic craftsmanship, enabling the restoration of previous works, including connecting damaged elements with a printed grid (Zoran, Leah, 2013).
- 3D-printed ceramics enabling the creation of complex geometries and intricate patterns (including fine details) (Mehrotra, 2025).
- Hybrid ceramics produced traditionally and using digital 3D printing technology (De Castro Lima Dolabella, Salvan Pagnan, 2025).
- Creating smart materials and clothing tailored to changing consumer needs, including aesthetic preferences (Tan et al., 2018).
- 3D printing in jewelry and metalwork for faster prototyping and personalization (Singh et al., 2025).
- Laser cutting in wood carving, enabling the creation of complex and precise patterns (Singh et al., 2025).
- Goldsmithing practices using conductive metals, including the creation of near-skin sensors (Tsaknaki et al., 2015; 2017).
- The use of computational design tools, CNC milling, 3D printing technology, and electronic interaction in works exhibited at the SIGGRAPH 2015 Hybrid Craft exhibition (Zoran, 2015).
- Handcrafted bag production using recycled materials and cross-cultural collaboration (Castelblanco-Pérez, 2025).

The above examples demonstrate the combination of traditional craft techniques with 3D printing (as an extension of craftsmanship), the enhancement of craftsmanship with digital technologies, the possibility of personalization, and material and cultural hybridization.

3.2. Aspects directly related to aesthetics

A hybrid aesthetic approach results from the integration of various techniques, materials, and disciplines, enabling the creation of innovative/artistic works (Aracı, 2025). Aesthetics influences numerous scientific and artistic disciplines; however, digital production practices in engineering are fundamentally different from those used in art, for example, they may focus on a pre-defined goal or be oriented towards exploitation (Torres, 2019).

The analysis identified three aesthetically related categories: ‘digital aesthetics’, ‘virtual aesthetics’, and ‘illumination aesthetics’. Digital aesthetics is evolving as machine learning advances and is applied to the production, evaluation, development, and interpretation of creative works. Data-driven models used in digital creativity enable the learning of visual image patterns, stylistic norms, and aesthetic predispositions. It broadens the scope of aesthetic diversity and accelerates creative exploration. Still, it can also result in the merging and unification of different artistic styles, leading to the homogenization of creativity (Upadhyay et al., 2025). The main characteristic of virtual aesthetics is its current rapid growth. This aesthetic was most visible during the Covid-19 pandemic, when lifestyle and fashion-related aesthetic communities (such as Dark Academia, Cottagecore, Fairycore, Royalcore, and Light Academia) adapted to participants' needs and capabilities (López Millán, 2024). Illumination aesthetics, on the other hand, is an aesthetic based on computer-aided techniques, design, and fabrication that enables manipulation of light properties (Torres, 2018).

The texts examined address aesthetics in a multifaceted yet fragmented manner. Among other things, the opportunities and threats associated with the use of new technologies are in crafts. The hybridization opens new markets for artisans and adds a contemporary dimension. This integration increases production efficiency and fosters innovation. It enables new ways to preserve crafts, including through digital archives, immersive experiences with crafts via augmented and virtual reality, and the implementation of practices consistent with sustainable development principles (e.g., renewable energy sources in craft workshops and environmentally friendly production processes) (Singh et al., 2025). Another advantage noted by Torres (2018) is that hybrid crafts offer the opportunity to expand existing aesthetic repertoires. Challenges associated with integrating new technologies with crafts include: i) insufficient financial resources and skills necessary to implement new technologies, ii) the risk of undermining the authenticity of crafts (Singh et al., 2025), and iii) the threat to the integrity and security of the original aesthetics of crafts associated with streaming data that is easily modified and reproduced (Shan, 2023). According to Aracı (2025), hybrid aesthetics results from the transmission and interaction of cultural values. This researcher emphasizes that the culture being described is not something constant today, but has a hybridizing structure. It is fostered by modernization and globalization (Aracı, 2025).

3.3. Aspects related to the cultural narrative

Across regions and traditions, research shows that crafts link people to place, ancestors, and each other, while also facing strong pressures from modernization and globalization.

Crafts embody local stories, symbols, and pride, conveying narratives through forms, designs, and motifs that express regional culture and cultural identity (Li et al., 2025; Bell et al., 2021; Lyu et al., 2024; Härkönen et al., 2018; Partarakis et al., 2020). Many studies stress crafts as visible expressions of cultural heritage, turning utilitarian objects into emotional expressions of history and worldview (Yang et al., 2018; Bell et al., 2021; Lyu et al., 2024).

In fashion and design, traditional craft processes preserve symbolic meanings and "embedded codes" tied to place and belief, and help avoid superficial cultural appropriation (Brown, Vacca, 2022).

Table 1 presents the diverse cultural roles of craft practices, detailing how these activities function as active mechanisms for social and individual expression. The data synthesized below illustrates that craftsmanship is not merely a technical pursuit but a complex social performance that affirms and reclaims identities, encodes collective memory, and anchors individuals within stable social structures, while bridging traditional heritage with modern innovation and spiritual continuity.

Table 1.
Main cultural roles that crafts play across studies

Cultural role	How crafts enact it	Sources
Identity & pride	They serve as a tangible medium for affirming and reclaiming Indigenous, ethnic, or regional identities, allowing artisans and communities to express their unique heritage and maintain a sense of distinctiveness.	Li et al. (2025), Sydora et al. (2023), Lyu et al. (2024), Härkönen et al. (2018), Yadav, Gopinath (2025)
Storytelling & memory	Craft objects act as physical archives that encode ancestral stories, myths, and oral histories; they also facilitate the processing of collective trauma and the celebration of healing.	Li et al. (2025), Sydora et al. (2023), Härkönen et al. (2018), Liaqat et al. (2023)
Community & belonging	Crafting practices provide a social anchor, integrating individuals into stable networks such as families, professional guilds, and local workshops, fostering mutual support.	Sydora et al. (2023), Ionică (2022), Dhar et al. (2024), Partarakis et al. (2020), Rubin et al. (2024)
Intergenerational transmission	They function as a living classroom where technical mastery is inseparable from the transfer of life lessons, ethical values, and cultural wisdom from elders to youth.	Yang et al. (2018), Sydora et al. (2023), Lyu et al. (2024), Härkönen et al. (2018), Zhang, Sirisuk (2025)
Spiritual connection	The process of making often maintains vital links to the sacred, involving rituals in the harvest of natural materials and objects that bridge the material and spiritual realms.	Yang et al. (2018), Wang et al. (2024), Zhang, Sirisuk (2025)
Hybrid innovation	By merging traditional motifs with contemporary digital tools or sustainable materials, crafts allow communities to adapt their cultural narrative to reflect modern lived realities.	Liaqat et al. (2023), Partarakis et al.(2020), Ukim (2021), Kolek (2026)

Source: own study.

The social dimension of craftsmanship is manifested through intergenerational transmission and community practices. Craft-making is a key conduit for passing knowledge, values, and life lessons between elders and youth, often in family or community settings (Yang et al., 2018; Sydora et al., 2023; Lyu et al., 2024; Härkönen et al., 2018; Zhang, Sirisuk, 2025; Rubin et al., 2024; Wang et al., 2024). Activities like weaving, beading, pottery, song, dance, and storytelling are taught together, linking skills with cultural teachings, identity, and wellness (Sydora et al., 2023; Rubin et al., 2024). Master–apprentice and family systems, often supported by museums, schools, or camps, structure the evolution of intangible cultural heritage across generations (Härkönen et al., 2018; Zhang, Sirisuk, 2025; Rubin et al., 2024; Wang et al., 2024). Another aspect to consider is crafts from the perspective of well-being and social connection.

Participating in traditional crafts builds intergenerational cohesion, cultural connectedness, and healing, especially in Indigenous and Arctic contexts (Sydora et al., 2023; Härkönen et al., 2018; Rubin et al., 2024). Collaborative (including digital) crafting supports social bonding, narrative sharing, and cross-cultural understanding in immigrant and mixed communities (Härkönen et al., 2018; Liaqat et al., 2023). However, it should be noted that industrialization, mass production, modernization, and weakened interest among youth threaten the continuity and authenticity of traditional crafts (Yang et al., 2018; Lyu et al., 2024; Ionică, 2022; Härkönen et al., 2018; Partarakis et al., 2020; Yadav, Gopinath, 2025; Liu, Xu, 2024; Wang et al., 2024). Community-based, educational, and digital strategies (schools, museums, digital representation) are seen as crucial to preserve skills, meanings, and community ties (Yang et al., 2018; Lyu et al., 2024; Ionică, 2022; Dhar et al., 2024; Partarakis et al., 2020; Zabulis et al., 2020). Across regions and traditions, crafts function as dense cultural "texts" that hold identity, memory, spirituality, and social relations. They are central to intergenerational knowledge transfer and community cohesion, while also contributing to wellbeing and sustainable local economies.

4. Summary

The analysis presented in this article underscores that the hybridization of crafts is not merely a technological shift but a profound aesthetic and social evolution. By merging traditional heritage with modern innovations, contemporary craftsmanship establishes a new paradigm for sustainable development that balances innovation with cultural integrity. Based on the investigated research questions, the cultural and ethnic components (Q1) serve as the bedrock for sustainable development, ensuring that hybrid objects remain rooted in their origins and provide a sense of identity and pride (Li et al., 2025; Sydora et al., 2023). Furthermore, the use of craft as a medium for intergenerational transmission allows these cultural narratives to remain dynamic, evolving across contemporary digital and analog forms while preserving the intangible heritage that defines them (Yang et al., 2018; Härkönen et al., 2018).

On the other hand, the strategic economic choices (Q2) shift the sector toward high-value, culturally significant "slow" production (Kolek, 2026; Ukim, 2021).

While these findings offer valuable insights, this study faces certain limitations that must be critically acknowledged. First, the rapid pace of technological integration may outpace the adaptive capacity of smaller, traditional artisan communities, potentially creating a digital divide within the craft sector. Second, because hybridization relies heavily on localized case studies, the economic and cultural frameworks proposed here may not be uniformly applicable across different geopolitical regions or varied levels of market infrastructure. Finally, balancing

commercial viability with cultural authenticity remains a fragile process, as excessive commercialization threatens to erode the intangible heritage this study aims to protect.

The core lesson learned from this study is that technology and tradition do not exist in a zero-sum relationship. The hybridization process demonstrate that digital innovation can serve as a protective shell for cultural narratives rather than a force of erasure. This research introduces new knowledge by demonstrating how intergenerational transmission can be successfully digitized, transforming ancestral storytelling into dynamic, interactive analog-digital forms (Yang et al., 2018). Furthermore, it redefines "slow production" not as an obsolete economic model, but as a highly competitive strategy tailored for the modern, eco-conscious consumer.

The importance of this research lies in its ability to bridge the gap between cultural anthropology, design studies, and sustainable economics. For the wider field, it shifts the academic dialogue from merely documenting dying traditions to actively engineering their survival through hybrid systems.

In summary, while the hybridization of crafts offers vast potential to enrich aesthetics and create new forms of engagement, it also requires careful attention to cultural authenticity. Cultural elements, such as local ethnic patterns and traditions, are essential to the sustainable advancement of crafts. By prioritizing the preservation of ethnic narratives and fostering community-based practices, hybrid crafts contribute to a sustainable future where technology and tradition coexist in productive synergy.

Acknowledgements

This research was developed with support from the Opole University of Technology as part of the DELTA project no. 297/25.

References

1. Aracı, A. (2025). Hybrid Aesthetics and Cultural Sustainability in the Context of Glocalization: Reshaping Strategies in Art. In: Aydoğan D. (Ed.), *Art Management: Selected Topics*. Özgür Yayınları. https://doi.org/10.58830/ozgur.pub904.c3739
2. Bell, E., Dacin, M., Toraldo, M. (2021). Craft Imaginaries – Past, Present and Future. *Organization Theory, 2*. https://doi.org/10.1177/2631787721991141

3. Brännkärr, P., Porko-Hudd, M., Hartvik, J. (2025). Students' experiences of interaction in a multicultural university-level craft course, *International Journal of Technology and Design Education.* https://doi.org/10.1007/s10798-025-10035-w
4. Brown, S., Vacca, F. (2022). Cultural sustainability in fashion: reflections on craft and sustainable development models. *Sustainability: Science, Practice and Policy, 18*, pp. 590-600. https://doi.org/10.1080/15487733.2022.2100102
5. Castelblanco-Pérez, S. (2025). *Hybrid Bags: Identity, ecology, and heritage*. An Autoethnographic Journey in Traditional Craftsmanship Exhibition, Biennial International Conference for the Craft Sciences - Mariestad, 22-24th of October 2025.
6. D'Souza, R.E. (2024). Fashioning identity and resistance: Shilpa Chavan's HUM (we/us). *Journal of Visual Art Practice*, *23(3),* pp. 213-243. https://doi.org/10.1080/14702029.2024.2394751
7. De Castro Lima Dolabella, F., Salvan Pagnan, C. (2025). Hybrid ceramic crafts: users' perception of traditional and digital technologies for production. Estudosem Design. Revista (online). *Rio de Janeiro, 33(2),* pp. 97-115.
8. Dhar, B., Chawla, U., Mulchandani, D. (2024). Sustainable Craft Culture: Socio-Cultural Drivers and Economic Impact on Sustainable Development. *Sustainable Development*. https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.3282
9. Dolabella, F.de C.L., Pagnan, S.C. (2025). Hybrid ceramic crafts: users' perception of traditional and digital technologies for production. *Estudosem Design, Revista* (online), *33*(2), pp. 97-115.
10. Gupta, S., Shende, A. (2025). Crafting the In-Between: Weaving with Hybrid Bamboo and 3D Printed Mesh as Regenerative Practice. In: Chang C.-Y., Hsu, Y. (Eds.), *IASDR 2025: Design Next, 02-05 December*, Taiwan. https://doi.org/10.21606/iasdr.2025.1135
11. Härkönen, E., Huhmarniemi, M., Jokela, T. (2018). Crafting Sustainability: Handcraft in Contemporary Art and Cultural Sustainability in the Finnish Lapland. *Sustainability*. https://doi.org/10.3390/su10061907
12. Ionică, P. (2022). Traditional Crafts. What About? *Culture. Society. Economy. Politics, 2*, pp. 51-59. https://doi.org/10.2478/csep-2022-0005
13. Kale, C.D., Kaur, G., Sule, B., Jarad, R.S. Prabha, D., Bawa, D.S., Priyadharshini, K. (2026). Management strategies for AI-integrated craft industries, *ShodhKosh: Journal of Visual and Performing Arts Special Issue on Digital Preservation and Intelligent Innovation in Traditional and Modern Arts, 7(1s)*, pp. 305-314. https://doi.org/10.29121/shodhkosh.v7.i1s.2026.7086
14. Kolek, A. (2026). *The role of crafts in building the state's resilience [Rola rzemiosła w budowaniu odporności państwa]*. Związek Rzemiosła Polskiego. Retrieved from: https://zrp.pl/rola-rzemiosla-w-budowaniu-odpornosci-panstwa/, 31.03.2026.

15. Li, H., Xue, T., Zheng, Z., Luo, X., Huang, G. (2025). The innovation of traditional handicrafts and cultural identity: A multidimensional value analysis using the DEMATEL-ISM method. *PLOS One, 20*. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0322893
16. Liaqat, A., Epp, C., Cai, M., Munteanu, C. (2023). Exploring Collaborative Culture Sharing Dynamics in Immigrant Families through Digital Crafting and Storytelling. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction, 7*, pp. 1-29. https://doi.org/10.1145/3610098
17. Liu, F., Xu, H. (2024). Craft making: Traditional and creative trajectories in Jingdezhen, China. *Asia Pacific Viewpoint*. https://doi.org/10.1111/apv.12407
18. López Millán, L. (2024). Virtual aesthetics as knowledge environments. In: Musicoguia (Ed.). *Conference Proceedings CIVAE 2024*. Madrid, Spain: Adaya Press. https://doi.org/10.58909/adc24571456
19. Lufiani, A., Sabana, S., Haldani, A. (2017). Aesthetics and functions of craft art in public art space. *HARMONIA: Journal of Arts Research and Education, 17(1),* pp. 41-47. https://doi.org/10.15294/harmonia.v17i1.7469
20. Lyu, J., Alli, H., Yusoff, I., Lyu, L. (2024). From Handicraft to Cultural Heritage: Redefining the Role of Traditional Handicraft in Shanxi's Cultural Expression. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*. https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarbss/v14-i7/21953
21. Mehrotra, M.S. (2025). *Interplay between traditional and modern 3D printing: a new perspective in future craft.* International Conference on Future Craft: Digital Innovation in Art, Fashion, 28.03.2025, pp. 150-159.
22. Partarakis, N., Zabulis, X., Antona, M., Stephanidis, C. (2020). Transforming Heritage Crafts to Engaging Digital Experiences, In: Liarokapis F., Voulodimos A., Doulamis N., Doulamis A. (Eds.), *Visual Computing for Cultural Heritage* (pp. 245-262). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-37191-3_13
23. Rubin, S., Mørch, I., Olsen, N., Nørtoft, K. (2024). Important intergenerational transmission of knowledge in promotion of well-being and cultural identity in Greenland. *International Journal of Circumpolar Health, 83*. https://doi.org/10.1080/22423982.2024.2420479
24. Shan, L. (2023). Preserving the Integrity of Li Yu's Craft Aesthetics Using the Digital Information Technology. *International Journal of Communication Networks and Information Security, 15(4)*.
25. Singh, A., Misra, S., Mishra, A. (2025). The future of Indian craftsmanship: integrating traditional artistry with modern technology. *International Conference on Future Craft: Digital Innovation in Art, Fashion*, *28.03.2025*, pp. 24-31.
26. Soledad Duarte Poblete, S., Lin, Y.S., Romania, A., Lid, J.-Y., Rognolia, V. (2024). A materials design practice between craft, creativity and technology. *Design Across*

Borders - United in Creativity, No. 13. Cumulus Conference Proceedings Series. Monterrey: Cumulus Association, pp. 2074-2096.

27. Sydora, B., Listener, L., Kung, J., Ross, S., Voyageur, C. (2023). Traditional crafting as a catalyst for Indigenous women's intergenerational cohesion and wellness: a Canadian perspective. *International Journal of Circumpolar Health, 82*. https://doi.org/10.1080/22423982.2023.2175763
28. Tan, J., Toomey, A., Warburton, A. (2018). CraftTech: hybrid frameworks for textile-based practice. *Journal of Textile Engineering & Fashion Technology, 4(2),* pp. 165-169.
29. Torres, C. (2018). *Hybrid Aesthetics: Design of New Media Practices within Digital Fabrication.* IS '18 Companion: Proceedings of the 2018 ACM Conference Companion Publication on Designing Interactive Systems (May 2018). https://doi.org/10.1145/3197391.3205381
30. Torres, C. (2019). *Hybrid Aesthetics: Bridging Material Practices and Digital Fabrication through Computational Crafting Proxies*. Technical Report No. UCB/EECS-2019-109, July 19, 2019.
31. Tsaknaki, M., Fernaeus, V., Jonsson, Y. (2015). Precious Materials of Interaction - Exploring Interactive Accessories as Jewellery Items. *Nordes'15: Design Ecologies*, *6*, pp. 1-10.
32. Tsaknaki, V., Fernaeus, Y., Rapp, E., Solsona, J. (2017). *Articulating Challenges of Hybrid Crafting for the Case of Interactive Silversmith Practice.* DIS '17: Proceedings of the 2017 Conference on Designing Interactive Systems, pp. 1187-1200. https://doi.org/10.1145/3064663.3064718
33. Ukim, I. (2021). Discourse on similitude between craft and art. *The Artist Journal (Taj), 5(2),* pp. 138-144.
34. Upadhyay, J., Nanda, S., Nayak, G., Sindha, Y., Malik, M., Jackulin, T., Khemnar, V.R. (2025). Digital Aesthetics in the Age of Machine Learning. *ShodhKosh: Journal of Visual and Performing Arts, 6(5s),* pp. 56-65. https://doi.org/10.29121/shodhkosh.v6.i5s.2025.6892
35. Wang, J., Su, M., Wall, G., Dong, H., Zhang, H. (2024). Intergenerational evolution of intangible cultural heritage through tourism development: perspectives of practitioners in Hangzhou China. *International Journal of Heritage Studies, 30*, pp. 968-991. https://doi.org/10.1080/13527258.2024.2363793
36. Yadav, U., Gopinath, S. (2025). The Last Artisans: Indigenous Craftsmanship Across Generations in Zanskar Valley. *Research Review Journal of Indian Knowledge Systems*. https://doi.org/10.31305/rrjiks.2025.v2.n1.001
37. Yang, Y., Shafi, M., Song, X., Yang, R. (2018). Preservation of Cultural Heritage Embodied in Traditional Crafts in the Developing Countries. A Case Study of Pakistani Handicraft Industry. *Sustainability, 10*, *1336*. https://doi.org/10.3390/su10051336

38. Zabulis, X., Meghini, C., Partarakis, N., Beisswenger, C., Dubois, A., Fasoula, M., Nitti, V., Ntoa, S., Adami, I., Chatziantoniou, A., Bartalesi, V., Metilli, D., Stivaktakis, N., Patsiouras, N., Doulgeraki, P., Karuzaki, E., Stefanidi, E., Qammaz, A., Kaplanidi, D., Neumann-Janßen, I., Denter, U., Hauser, H., Petraki, A., Stivaktakis, I., Mantinaki, E., Rigaki, A., Galanakis, G. (2020). Representation and Preservation of Heritage Crafts. *Sustainability*. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12041461
39. Zhang, T., Sirisuk, M. (2025). The Development and Educational Inheritance of Li Pottery as a Carrier of Local Wisdom in the Context of Modernity. *Journal of Practical Studies in Education*. https://doi.org/10.46809/jpse.v6i3.107
40. Zoran, A. (2013). Hybrid Basketry: Interweaving Digital Practice within Contemporary Craft. *Leonardo, 46(4),* pp. 324–331.
41. Zoran, A. (2015). Hybrid Craft: Showcase of Physical and Digital Integration of Design and Craft Skills. *Leonardo, 48(4)*, pp. 384-398. https://doi.org/10.1162/LEON_a_01093
42. Zoran, A., Leah B. (2013). Hybrid reAssemblage: An Exploration of Craft. *Digital Fabrication and Artifact Uniqueness, Leonardo, 46(1)*, pp. 5-10.
43. ZPE (n.d.). *Artisticcrafts [Rzemiosło artystyczne]*. Zintegrowana Platforma Edukacyjna. Retrieved from: https://zpe.gov.pl/watek/LP6WO6jnLj/1/a/rzemioslo-artystyczne/DC16eegiE, 31.03.2026.