SILESIAN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY PUBLISHING HOUSE

SCIENTIFIC PAPERS OF SILESIAN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY 2026
ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT SERIES NO. 245

STRATEGIES FOR REDUCING AND VALORIZING INDUSTRIAL WASTE IN THE CONTEXT OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT AND INDUSTRY 4.0

Jakub SEMRAU[1], Anna HORZELA-MIŚ[2]

[1] Silesian University of Technology, Faculty of Organization and Management; jakub.semrau@polsl.pl,
ORCID: 0000-0002-4894-7792
[2] Silesian University of Technology, Faculty of Organization and Management; anna.horzela-mis@polsl.pl,
ORCID: 0000-0003-2345-9861
*Correspondence author

Purpose: The purpose of this paper is to synthesize current strategies and technological solutions for the reduction, processing, and valorization of industrial waste within sustainable development and Industry 4.0 frameworks, focusing particularly on Poland's heavy industry context. The analysis critically identifies implementation barriers and opportunities from digital innovations and circular economy principles.

Design/methodology/approach: The literature review encompasses preventive strategies (process optimization, eco-design, cleaner production), advanced waste processing methods (physical, chemical, thermal, biological), and systemic circular approaches (industrial symbiosis, upcycling, integrated waste management). Digital technologies—IIoT, digital twins, AI/ML—are evaluated for material flow optimization, drawing on recent publications, ISO standards, and UNEP reports with critical Discussion analysis.

Findings: Effective waste management requires integrated source prevention, advanced valorization, and digital optimization. CE concepts reframe waste as resources while Poland faces sector-specific challenges (mining, metallurgy) requiring EU-aligned transformation. Barriers including competency gaps and data deficits are addressable through policy and collaboration, as detailed through critical literature evaluation.

Originality/value: This systematic Polish-context synthesis of prevention-processing-digitalization critically evaluates literature limitations, identifies heavy industry barriers, and proposes research priorities (LCA, symbiosis models, decision tools), offering managers and policymakers practical implementation roadmaps for EU-compliant circular transformation.

Keywords: Industrial waste management, Circular economy, Waste valorization, Industry 4.0 technologies, Sustainable development.

Category of the paper: Literature review.

http://dx.doi.org/10.29119/1641-3466.2026.245.24

1. Introduction

Industrial activities are among the main drivers of global resource extraction and waste generation, contributing significantly to greenhouse gas emissions, ecosystem degradation, and pressures on human health. In the European Union alone, industrial sectors such as manufacturing, construction, and energy production generate hundreds of millions of tons of waste annually, much of which is still landfilled or downcycled despite growing regulatory and societal pressure to improve circularity. In countries with a strong industrial base such as Poland, where sectors including mining, metallurgy, chemicals, and manufacturing play a key economic role, the challenge of industrial waste management is particularly pronounced due to legacy pollution, high material intensity, and the need to align with evolving EU environmental regulations, including the Green Deal and Waste Framework Directive. At the same time, volatile raw material prices, supply chain disruptions, and climate-related risks are forcing companies to reconsider traditional linear "take–make–dispose" models and to seek more resilient, resource-efficient production strategies (Semrau, 2025).

Sustainable development, as articulated by the Brundtland Commission, requires meeting present needs without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own, which directly implies a profound transformation of how industrial waste is generated, managed, and valorized. Waste reduction at source, improvement of processing technologies, and the integration of circular economy principles are therefore not only environmental imperatives but also economic and social necessities for modern industry. In this context, technological innovations associated with Industry 4.0—such as the Industrial Internet of Things (IIoT), advanced analytics, digital twins, and artificial intelligence—offer new opportunities to monitor material flows, predict waste generation, and optimize process performance across the entire value chain.

Recent research highlights that effective industrial waste management must combine preventive approaches (eco-design, cleaner production), advanced treatment and valorization methods (physical, chemical, thermal, and biological), and systemic strategies such as industrial symbiosis, resource recovery, and integrated waste management systems. Circular economy concepts further promote the retention of material value through reuse, repair, remanufacturing, and high-quality recycling, supported by appropriate policy instruments and environmental management standards (e.g., ISO 14001). However, the practical implementation of these concepts at the plant and network levels remains challenging due to technological, organizational, and data-related barriers (Horzela-Miś, Semrau, 2025).

This paper systematically synthesizes current strategies and technological solutions for reducing, processing, and valorizing industrial waste in the context of sustainable development and Industry 4.0, with particular emphasis on Poland's heavy industry context. The analysis specifically examines: (I) optimization of technological processes for waste prevention,

(II) advanced methods for waste processing and energy/material recovery, (III) circular economy approaches including industrial symbiosis and upcycling, and (IV) the role of digital technologies and integrated management systems in enabling data-driven, systemic waste management. Through critical evaluation of literature limitations presented in the Discussion section, this review identifies key implementation barriers in transitional economies, proposes novel contributions for Central European contexts, and addresses three core research questions: Which integrated strategies prove most effective under EU regulatory constraints? What are the primary barriers to implementation in heavy industries like Poland's mining and metallurgy sectors? What research priorities—such as LCA assessments, symbiosis network modeling, and decision-support tools—will bridge existing theory-practice gaps?

The aim is to not only synthesize current trends but also highlight actionable opportunities for industrial stakeholders, policymakers, and researchers to enhance environmental performance and economic competitiveness through sustainable waste management practices that align with EU Green Deal objectives. By providing Poland-specific implementation frameworks and sequential transformation roadmaps detailed in the Conclusion, this paper bridges the gap between global literature insights and regional industrial transformation challenges.

2. Reduction of Industrial Waste in the Context of Sustainable Development

The reduction of industrial waste lies at the core of sustainable waste management strategies. By preventing waste generation at the source, industries can reduce environmental burdens, improve resource efficiency, and lower operational costs. Sustainable development, as defined by the Brundtland Commission (1987), emphasizes meeting present needs without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own. In this context, reducing industrial waste is not merely an environmental imperative but a socio-economic necessity (Kuhlman, Farrington, 2010).

2.1. Optimization of Technological Processes

One of the most effective ways to reduce industrial waste is through the optimization of manufacturing and technological processes. Technological optimization involves streamlining production lines, improving process control, and adopting lean manufacturing principles to minimize raw material waste. For example, advanced sensors and automation systems allow real-time monitoring and adjustment of material flow, reducing overproduction and defects. Additionally, the integration of Industry 4.0 technologies, including the Industrial Internet of Things (IIoT), digital twins, and advanced analytics, has shown promise in forecasting waste generation and identifying inefficiencies in complex production systems.

The use of digital twins—virtual replicas of physical assets—enables simulations that model how process adjustments impact waste outputs, facilitating predictive maintenance and reducing downtime-related material losses. Predictive models based on artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) algorithms can accurately predict where and when waste will occur, thus enabling proactive waste reduction (Alsabt et al., 2024).

2.2. Eco-Design and Sustainable Product Engineering

Eco-design, also known as Design for Environment (DfE), is a preventive approach that aims to minimize environmental impact through product design (Singhal et al., 2024). By selecting recyclable or biodegradable materials, reducing product complexity, and designing for disassembly, manufacturers can limit waste at the end of a product's life cycle. The approach known as Design for Disassembly (DfD) enables products to be easily dismantled so that parts can be reused, repaired, or recycled, extending material lifespans and reducing demand for virgin resources.

Moreover, lifecycle thinking is increasingly being integrated into industrial design practices. Lifecycle Assessment (LCA), a methodology standardized by the International Organization for Standardization (ISO 14040), allows for the quantitative assessment of environmental impacts associated with all stages of a product's life—from raw material extraction through production, use, and disposal.

2.3. Implementation of Cleaner Production Strategies

The United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) defines Cleaner Production (CP) as "the continuous application of an integrated preventive environmental strategy to processes, products, and services to increase overall efficiency and reduce risks to humans and the environment" (UNEP, 2007). CP focuses on improving process efficiency, substituting hazardous inputs, and reducing waste and emissions before they are generated. Key CP practices include energy efficiency improvements, raw material substitution, and process intensification.

A UNEP report showed that implementing CP techniques in textile manufacturing led to noticeable reductions in chemical use and water consumption (UNEP, 2020). CP is considered superior to end-of-pipe solutions because it tackles the root causes of waste generation rather than treating symptoms.

3. Processing and Valorization of Industrial Waste

Where complete prevention of waste generation proves unfeasible despite preventive measures, the waste management hierarchy prioritizes its effective processing and recovery as the next critical step. Processing encompasses a range of techniques aimed at transforming waste streams into usable forms—such as secondary raw materials, energy carriers, or value-added products—thereby maximizing resource recovery rates and minimizing environmental impacts associated with disposal. Industrial waste processing methods are broadly classified into physical/mechanical (e.g., shredding, sorting, separation), chemical (e.g., neutralization, precipitation, extraction), and biological (e.g., composting, anaerobic digestion) categories, with increasingly popular hybrid approaches combining these for optimal treatment of complex, heterogeneous waste streams in modern applications (Alao et al., 2022). This strategic focus not only complies with regulatory frameworks like the EU Waste Framework Directive but also unlocks economic value through resource circularity and reduced landfill dependency.

3.1. Physical and Mechanical Processing

Physical processing methods aim to alter the physical characteristics of waste for easier handling, separation, or further treatment. These include size reduction (shredding, crushing), sorting, magnetic separation, flotation, and densification (e.g., briquetting or pelletizing). Such methods are particularly useful in the pre-treatment of construction and demolition waste, scrap metal, and mineral residues.

Advanced mechanical processing systems are now often equipped with automated sorting technologies, including near-infrared (NIR) sensors, eddy current separators, and computer vision, enabling accurate segregation of materials such as plastics, metals, and glass. This pre-processing is critical in preparing waste streams for high-quality recycling and contributes significantly to material recovery rates (Amobonye et al., 2023).

3.2. Chemical Processing and Thermal Treatment

Chemical processing methods use chemical reactions to treat or transform waste. These include neutralization, precipitation, oxidation-reduction reactions, and solvent extraction. Hazardous waste streams, such as heavy metal-containing sludges and halogenated solvents, are often stabilized through chemical immobilization techniques (Ragaert et al., 2017).

Thermal treatments such as incineration, pyrolysis, and gasification are widely employed for both hazardous and non-hazardous waste. Pyrolysis, in particular, has received increasing attention for its potential to convert polymer waste into synthetic fuels and valuable chemicals.

Gasification, which occurs at higher temperatures and with controlled oxygen, converts carbon-rich waste into synthesis gas (syngas), which can be used for power generation or chemical synthesis.

3.3. Biological Treatment of Industrial Waste

Biological methods utilize microorganisms to degrade or transform waste into less harmful forms. These are most applicable to biodegradable industrial waste such as food-processing sludge, paper mill effluents, and certain agricultural residues. The two most common biological processes are:

- Aerobic composting, where organic matter is decomposed in the presence of oxygen to produce stable humus-like material, and
- Anaerobic digestion (AD), where organic materials are broken down in oxygen-free conditions, producing biogas (a mix of methane and carbon dioxide) and digestate.

Anaerobic digestion of food industry by-products can yield up to 500 m^3 of biogas per ton of organic matter, providing a renewable energy source while reducing the organic load in wastewater (Pilarska et al., 2023).

4. Circular Economy Approaches in Industrial Waste Management

The circular economy (CE) represents a systemic paradigm shift that fundamentally contrasts with the traditional linear economy model of "take–make–dispose," where resources are extracted, transformed into products, and ultimately discarded as waste. In the CE framework, the primary objective is to maximize the retention of value embedded in products, materials, and resources throughout their lifecycle by prioritizing strategies such as reuse, repair, remanufacturing, and high-quality recycling, thereby minimizing the need for virgin raw materials and reducing environmental externalities. Waste is reconceptualized not as an inevitable endpoint or liability, but as a valuable secondary resource that can be reintegrated into production cycles, fostering resource efficiency, lowering operational costs, and creating new economic opportunities through closed-loop systems (Naqvi et al., 2021). This approach aligns with broader sustainable development goals by decoupling economic growth from finite resource depletion and waste generation.

4.1. Industrial Symbiosis and Zero Waste Initiatives

Industrial symbiosis is a key CE strategy where the by-products or waste from one industrial process are used as inputs in another. The Kalundborg Symbiosis in Denmark is the most cited example, where excess heat, water, and by-products like gypsum and fly ash are shared among several companies, reducing the need for virgin inputs and lowering overall emissions.

Zero waste strategies aim for complete elimination of landfill disposal by maximizing reuse, recycling, and recovery. These strategies often involve redesigning production systems to close material loops and eliminate inefficiencies. Implementation may include extended producer responsibility (EPR) policies, material passports, and take-back programs (Valentine, 2016).

4.2. Resource Recovery and Upcycling

Resource recovery includes extracting usable materials or energy from waste. Upcycling goes a step further by converting waste into products of higher value. For instance, fly ash from coal combustion can be used as a pozzolanic material in concrete production, improving mechanical strength and reducing cement consumption.

Emerging technologies in waste-to-energy (WtE) and waste-to-material (WtM) offer industrial players avenues to recover value from waste. For example, chemical recycling processes are being developed to break down multi-layered plastics into monomers that can be repolymerized into virgin-quality plastic (Anuar et al., 2025).

5. Digital Technologies and Integrated Management Strategies

The advent of digitalization has fundamentally transformed waste management practices, revolutionizing how industrial waste streams are monitored, optimized, and regulated across the entire value chain. Technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning (ML), geographic information systems (GIS), and the Industrial Internet of Things (IIoT) form the backbone of this evolution, enabling unprecedented real-time tracking of waste generation, composition, and flows through sensor-equipped smart bins, conveyor systems, and networked facilities. These tools facilitate advanced data analytics for identifying inefficiencies—such as suboptimal sorting or overfilled storage—and predictive decision-making that forecasts waste volumes, optimizes collection routes, and preempts compliance risks with dynamic adjustments to process parameters (Olawade et al., 2024). By integrating platforms like digital twins for virtual simulation of waste treatment scenarios and blockchain for traceability, digital solutions not only enhance operational efficiency and cost savings but also support regulatory reporting and stakeholder transparency in line with EU circular economy directives.

5.1. Smart Waste Monitoring and Analytics

Smart bins equipped with IIoT sensors can report fill levels, contamination, and temperature, allowing for optimized waste collection routes and reduced fuel consumption. In industrial settings, real-time monitoring systems ensure compliance with emission limits and enable traceability across waste supply chains.

Big data analytics allow organizations to identify trends in waste generation, assess process performance, and detect anomalies. Machine learning models have been deployed to optimize sorting systems and to predict the economic viability of recycling specific waste streams (Kannan et al., 2024).

5.2. Integrated Waste Management Systems

Integrated waste management (IWM) refers to the coordinated use of multiple waste treatment and disposal methods to manage waste effectively. It involves waste minimization at the source, followed by segregation, recovery, treatment, and final disposal. A robust IWM strategy combines:

- Policy instruments (e.g., landfill taxes, recycling mandates),
- Technological solutions (e.g., automated sorting, pyrolysis),
- Social interventions (e.g., employee training, corporate responsibility).

International standards such as ISO 14001 (Environmental Management Systems) provide a framework for implementing systematic and accountable waste management practices in industrial organizations (Seadon, 2006).

6. Discussion

The reviewed literature provides a robust foundation for industrial waste management strategies, yet reveals critical limitations in practical implementation. Preventive approaches such as process optimization and eco-design perform well in controlled or laboratory settings (Singhal et al., 2024; Alsabt et al., 2024), but rarely address sector-specific barriers in Poland's heavy industry—high capital costs, legacy infrastructure, and complex inherited environmental regulations from the transformation period.

Waste processing methods (physical, chemical, thermal, biological) demonstrate material and energy recovery potential (Alao et al., 2022; Pilarska et al., 2023; Ragaert et al., 2017), but studies overlook long-term cost-effectiveness analyses and environmental trade-offs (e.g., energy-intensive pyrolysis emissions). Circular economy models, including industrial symbiosis (Valentine, 2016), succeed in showcase projects like Kalundborg but face scalability issues, governance gaps in inter-firm networks, and dependency on partner trust (Naqvi et al., 2021).

Industry 4.0 technologies (IIoT, AI/ML, digital twins) enable real-time prediction and optimization (Kannan et al., 2024; Olawade et al., 2024), yet neglect digital transformation challenges: data silos in legacy systems, cybersecurity risks, and skills shortages in industrial regions (Horzela-Miś, Semrau, 2025).

Novel contributions of this review include systematic synthesis of prevention-processing-digitalization strategies contextualized for Polish heavy industry, identification of mining and metallurgy-specific barriers (competency deficits, data infrastructure gaps), and a prioritized research agenda: hybrid digital-preventive models compliant with EU Green Deal.

Lessons learned emphasize a sequential approach: source prevention first, then valorization with value recovery, supported by digitalization. Significance for the field impacts public policy (national zero-waste strategies), corporate management (symbiosis pilot roadmaps), and academia (LCA-symbiotic methodological frameworks).

Practical applications include waste valorization audit tools and cross-sector collaboration networks, accelerating circular transformation in Central European resource economies.

Implementation recommendations:

- Short-term: Deploy IIoT for waste stream monitoring.
- Medium-term: Pilot industrial symbiosis in Silesian economic zones.
- Long-term: LCA-based decision systems for corporate strategies.

7. Conclusion

This literature review confirms that an integrated approach to industrial waste management—combining source prevention (UNEP, 2007; Singhal et al., 2024), advanced valorization technologies (Alao et al., 2022; Pilarska et al., 2023), circular economy principles (Naqvi et al., 2021; Valentine, 2016), and Industry 4.0 digital transformation (Kannan et al., 2024; Olawade et al., 2024)—represents the most promising pathway for developing sustainable waste management systems.

As detailed in the Discussion section, the critical evaluation of the literature identifies key implementation barriers in Poland's heavy industry: competency deficits, data infrastructure gaps, and digital transformation challenges in legacy sectors (Horzela-Miś, Semrau, 2025; Semrau, 2025). Nevertheless, these identified research limitations create a concrete agenda for future work: quantitative LCA assessments, industrial symbiosis network modeling, and the development of decision-support tools adapted to EU Green Deal regulations.

The main theses of this paper encompass a sequential action hierarchy—prevention, valorization, digitalization—as the optimal transformation model for Polish mining and metallurgy contexts. The article's contribution lies in contextualizing international solutions for Central Europe and providing practical recommendations for public policy (zero waste strategies), business (industrial symbiosis pilots), and science (LCA-symbiotic methodological frameworks).

Implementing the proposed solutions according to short-term (IIoT monitoring), medium-term (symbiosis in Silesian economic zones), and long-term (LCA decision systems) sequences will accelerate the transition from linear to circular industrial models. This will enhance Poland's competitiveness within EU climate goals and environmental regulations, bridging the gap between theory and practice in Industry 4.0 transformation.

The paper provides managers, policymakers, and researchers with a ready strategic framework for operationalizing sustainable waste management under regulatory and technological constraints.

References

1. Alao, M.A., Popoola, O.M., Ayodele, T.R. (2022). Waste- to- energy nexus: An overview of technologies and implementation for sustainable development. *Cleaner Energy Systems, Vol. 3*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cles.2022.100034
2. Alsabt, R., Alkhaldi, W., Adenle, Y.A., Alshuwaikhat, H.M. (2024). Optimizing waste management strategies through artificial intelligence and machine learning - An economic and environmental impact study. *Cleaner Waste Systems, vol. 8.* https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clwas.2024.100158
3. Amobonye, A.E., Bhagwat, P., Singh, S., Pillai, S. (2023). *Chapter 10 - Biodegradability of Polyvinyl chloride. Biodegradability of Conventional Plastics*. Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-89858-4.00017-8
4. Anuar, S.Z.K., Nordin, A.H., Husna, S.M.N., Yusoff, A.H., Paiman, S.H., Noor, S.F.M., Nordin, M.L., Ali, S.N., Ismail, Y.M.N.S. (2025). Recent advances in recycling and upcycling of hazardous plastic waste: A review. *Journal of Environmental Management, vol. 380.* https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2025.124867
5. Horzela-Miś, A., Semrau, J. (2025). The role of renewable energy and storage technologies in sustainable development: simulation in the construction industry. *Frontiers in Energy Research, 13*, 1-14. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenrg.2025.1540423
6. Kannan, D., Khademolqorani, S., Janatyan, N., Alavi, S. (2024). Smart waste management 4.0: The transition from a systematic review to an integrated framework. *Waste Management, vol. 174.* https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2023.08.041
7. Kuhlman, T., Farrington, J. (2010). What is Sustainability? *Sustainability, 2(11),* 3436-3448. https://doi.org/10.3390/su2113436
8. Naqvi, S.R., Beig, B., Naqvi, M. (2021). *Circular Economy Approach to Address the Industrial Solid Waste Management.* Handbook of Solid Waste Management, Sustainability through Circular Economy. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-7525-9_62-1

9. Olawade, D.B., Fapohunda, O., Wada, O.Z., Usman, S.O., Ige, A.O., Ajisafe, O., Oladapo, B.I. (2024). Smart waste management: A paradigm shift enabled by artificial intelligence. *Waste Management Bulletin, vol. 2, iss. 2.* https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wmb.2024.05.001
10. Pilarska, A.A., Kulupa, T., Kubiak, A., Wolna-Maruwka, A., Pilarski, K., Niewiadomska, A. (2023). Anaerobic Digestion of Food Waste—A Short Review. *Energies, 16(15),* 5742. https://doi.org/10.3390/en16155742
11. Ragaert, K., Delva, L., Geem, K.V. (2017). Mechanical and chemical recycling of solid plastic waste. *Waste Management, vol. 69.* https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2017.07.044
12. Seadon, J.K. (2006). Integrated waste management – Looking beyond the solid waste horizon. *Waste Management, vol. 26, iss. 22.* https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2006.04.009
13. Semrau, J. (2025). Logistics in the era of climate change: a review of challenges and development opportunities. *Zeszyty Naukowe Politechniki Śląskiej. Organizacja i Zarządzanie, vol. 217.* https://doi.org/10.29119/1641-3466.2025.217.30
14. Singhal, T.S., Jain, J.K., Ramacharyulu, D.A., Jain, A., Abdul-Zahra, D.S., Manjunatha, Srivastava, A.P. (2024). Eco-Design of Products and Processes: A Review on Principles and Tools for Sustainable Manufacturing. *E3S Web Conf., 505.* https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202450501033
15. United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). (2007). *Environmental agreements and cleaner production.* https://www.unep.org/resources/report/environmental-agreements-and-cleaner-production.
16. United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). (2020). *Sustainability and Circularity in the Textile Value Chain: Global Stocktaking*. https://wedocs.unep.org/handle/20.500.11822/34184
17. Valentine, S.V. (2016). Kalundborg Symbiosis: fostering progressive innovation in environmental networks. *Journal of Cleaner Production, vol. 118.* https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.01.061